The Standardized Program Evaluation Protocol (SPEPTM):

Service Score Results: Baseline

Name of Program and Service: Neighborhood First Program, INC. Neighborhood First Intensive Mentoring and Monitoring, Social Skills Training

SPEP ID: 46

Cohort Total: 11	SPEP ID: <u>46</u>
Selected Timeframe: <u>5/1/2013 - 9/1/2014</u>	
Date(s) of Interview(s): 10/29/2014 and 11/21/2014	
Lead County & SPEP Team Representatives: Bucks County	y, William Batty, Lisa Freese & Heather Perry
Person Preparing Report: William Batty & Heather Perry	
Description of Service: This should include a brief overview	
if community based or residential. Indicate the type of youth referred, how	
relevant information to help the reader understand the SPEP service	type classification. (550 character umit)
Neighborhood First "was created to provide corrective measures for ar incarceration, fines, and school expulsion." Neighborhood First includ which "provides intensive monitoring, counseling and support to Brist includes the Neighborhood First Intensive Mentoring and Monitoring I (Accessing Skills, Competencies, Education via Networking and Deve Intervention" and provides "outreach in the community for at risk yout this report is NFIMMP. The Bucks County Children and Youth Social Department (JPO) make referrals to NFIMMP. Referrals include deline 13 and 18. The number of referrals from C&Y are capped at 12 and the First employs 4 staff members who deliver the service. These staff memassigned a "Youth Advocate." Walt Mims was the supervisor for "You about 10/30/14. Mr. Mims described himself as the bridge between the "Youth Advocate" based on consulting with the referral source and ide When looking at the descriptions provided for Track 2 programming, written overview about NFIMMP provided at the onsite visit/meeting during high risk times (after school, evenings and weekends). Also, NI it depicts a structured environment for youth during high risk times. To the core of Neighborhood First programming is providing a structured NFIMMP schedule that may be considered as a service for the SPEP a Group. The focus of this report is the Life Skills Group.	les two program tracks. Track 1 is Mentoring and Monitoring, tol area youth during the critical hours after school." This track Program (NFIMMP) and the Neighborhood First ASCEND elopment) Program. Track 2 is labeled "Prevention and the who are reluctant to seek assistance elsewhere." The focus of Services Agency (C&Y) and Bucks County Juvenile Probation equent and non-delinquent males and females between the ages of the number of referrals from JPO are capped at 13. Neighborhood embers are identified as "Youth Advocates." Juveniles are unth Advocates" but was promoted to Executive Director on or the juvenile and assigned staff member. Juveniles are assigned to a centifying the juvenile's needs, gender, age, and personality traits, words such as "safe environment and safe haven" are found. The indicates that NFIMMP provides structured intensive supervision FIMMP provided a daily schedule at the onsite visit/meeting and hese documents coupled with Track 2 descriptors indicate that and safe environment for youth. Activities listed in the
The four characteristics of a service found to be recidivism:	the most strongly related to reducing
1. SPEPTM Service Type: Social Skills Training	
Based on the meta-analysis, is there a qualifying sup	oplemental service? No
If so, what is the Service type? There is no qualifying	
Was the supplemental service provided? n/a To	otal Points Possible for this Service Type: 20
Total Points	Earned: 20 Total Points Possible: _35_
2. Quality of Service: Research has shown that programs that deliver service with high quality are more labored a positive impact on recidivism reduction. Monitoring of quality is defined by existence of written protocol, staff training and supervision, and how drift from service delivery is addressed.	
Total Points l	Earned: 5 Total Points Possible: _20_

3.	Amount of Service: Score was derived from examination of weeks and hours each youth in the cohort received the service. The amount of service is measured by the target amounts of service for the SPEP service categorization. Each SPEP service type has varying amounts of duration and dosage. Youth should receive the targeted amounts to have the greatest impact on recidivism reduction. Points received for Duration or Number of Weeks: 4 Points received for Dosage or Number of Hours: 2
	Total Points Earned:6 Total Points Possible: _20_
4.	• Youth Risk Level: The risk level score is compiled by calculating the total % of youth that score above low risk, and the total % of youth who score above moderate risk to reoffend based on the results of the YLS.
	youth in the cohort are Moderate, High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a total of 10 points youth in the cohort are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a total of 8 points
	Total Points Earned:18 Total Points Possible: _25
	Basic SPEP TM Score: 49 total points awarded out of 100 points. Compares service to any other type of SPEP therapeutic service. (eg: individual counseling compared to cognitive behavioral therapy, social skills training, mentoring, etc.) Note: Services with scores greater than or equal to 50 show the service is having a positive impact on recidivism reduction.
	Program Optimization Percentage: 58% This percentage compares the service to the same service types found in the research. (eg: individual counseling compared to all other individual counseling services included in the research)
	The CDED and Derferon and Language

The SPEP and Performance Improvement

The intended use of the SPEP is to optimize the effectiveness of reducing recidivism among juvenile offenders. Recommendations for performance improvement are included in the service feedback report, and these recommendations are the focus of the performance improvement plan, a shared responsibility of the service provider and the local juvenile court. The recommendations for this service included in the feedback report are:

The program could improve its capacity for recidivism reduction through:

- 1. Utilizing the existing agency documents/resources to create written protocol/manual
- Describe the social skills training service type and how it is to be delivered
- Utilize social skills topics included in available resources and develop lessons for those topics that incorporate teaching, modeling, practicing and feedback
- Document that the manual/protocols are being utilized or referenced during service delivery
- Review/update manual/protocols regularly and document review/update
- Identify a target population best suited for service type and include it in manual/protocols.
- 2. Enhancing staff training
- Train service delivery staff and supervisor to deliver the service and document it
- Provide booster/refresher/ongoing service type specific training for service delivery staff and document it.
- Collaborate with probation department to train staff in YLS
- 3. Enhancing staff supervision
- Focus on service delivery staff's adherence and quality of service delivery at individual and staff meetings and document these efforts
- Provide written feedback to those delivering the service about their delivery beyond a yearly written performance
- Complete performance evaluations in a consistent manner.
- 4. Enhancing response to drift
- Responses to drift should be more specific for service type and delivery
- Collect data about the service and utilize data to monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of the service
- 5. Attaining targeted dosage and risk level served
- Collaborate with probation department to meet targeted dosage requirement for mentoring service type and increase referrals of moderate/high risk juvenile and decrease low risk referrals

The Standardized Program Evaluation Protocol (SPEP ^{1M}):
Service Score Results: Reassessment
Name of Program and Service: Neighborhood First Intensive Mentoring and Monitoring Life Skills Group
Cohort Total: 17 SPEP ID: 46-T02
Selected Timeframe: Feb. 17, 2016-Apr. 3, 2018
Date(s) of Interview(s): May 1, 2018
Lead County & SPEP Team Representatives: Nick Caramenico, Bucks Co., & Heather Perry, EPISCenter
Person Preparing Report: Nick Caramenico, Bucks Co., & Heather Perry, EPISCenter
Description of Service: This should include a brief overview of the service within the context of the program, the location and if community based or residential. Indicate the type of youth referred, how the service is delivered, the purpose of service and any other relevant information to help the reader understand the SPEP service type classification. (350 character limit) Neighborhood First Inc. was established on October 1, 2001. Before it was incorporated on December 4, 2003, its "mother" agency was Today Inc. James T. Wells was its founder and Executive Director until October 2014. Mr. Wells' vision was to "address the needs of youth who often fell through the cracks in the juvenile justice system." Neighborhood First "was created to provide corrective
measures for area youth (Bensalem Township, Bristol Borough, Bristol Township, and Morrisville Borough) as a structured alternative to juvenile incarceration, fines, and school expulsion." Neighborhood First's mission is written on their website as follows: 1. "To provide mentoring, monitoring and guidance to neighborhood youth, and 2. To promote positive goals and the means to achieve them by teaching skills necessary to become productive adults." Neighborhood First includes two program tracks as described in the Neighborhood First Brochure and on its website. Track 1 is labeled Mentoring and Monitoring. This track "provides intensive monitoring, counseling and support to Bristol area youth during the critical hours after school. The track also addresses the need for juvenile probation placement alternatives." This track includes the Neighborhood First Intensive Mentoring and Monitoring Program (NFIMMP). Track 2 is labeled "Prevention and Intervention." This track provides "outreach in the community for at risk youth who are reluctant to seek assistance elsewhere." School districts, Bucks County Children and Youth Social Service Agency, and the minor judiciary are referral agents to the programs. This track includes programs such as: Bucket Drumming Corps, H.A.V.E.N. (Homework, Athletics, Values, and Education Network), Wearable Arts, and T.A.G. (Teen Awareness Group). Three out of four of the Track 2 programs include safe haven, safe environment and structure during high risk time periods in their description. The focus of this report is the Neighborhood First Intensive Mentoring and Monitoring Program (NFIMMP) listed in Track 1. The Bucks County Children and Youth Social Services Agency (C&Y) and Bucks County Juvenile Probation Department (JPO) make referrals to NFIMMP. Referrals include delinquent and non-delinquent males and females between the ages of 13 and 18. The number of referrals from C&Y are capped at 12 and the number of referrals from JPO are capped at 13. The program typically serves around
The four characteristics of a service found to be the most strongly related to reducing recidivism:
1. SPEP TM Service Type: Social Skills Training
Based on the meta-analysis, is there a qualifying supplemental service? n/a
If so, what is the Service type? There is no qualifying supplemental service
20
was the supplemental service provided? If a local Foliation of this service Type.
Total Points Earned: 20 Total Points Possible: 35
2. Quality of Service: Research has shown that programs that deliver service with high quality are more likely to have a positive impact on recidivism reduction. Monitoring of quality is defined by existence of written protocol, staff training and supervision, and how drift from service delivery is addressed.

Total Points Earned: 10 **Total Points Possible: 20**

3.	Amount of Service: Score was derived from examination of weeks and hours each youth in the cohort received the service. The amount of service is measured by the target amounts of service for the SPEP service categorization. Each SPEP service type has varying amounts of duration and dosage. Youth should receive the targeted amounts to have the greatest impact on recidivism reduction. Points received for Duration or Number of Weeks: 2 Points received for Dosage or Number of Hours: 2
	Total Points Earned:4 Total Points Possible: 20
4.	Youth Risk Level: The risk level score is compiled by calculating the total % of youth that score above low risk, and the total % of youth who score above moderate risk to reoffend based on the results of the YLS.
	youth in the cohort are Moderate, High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a total of 12 points youth in the cohort are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a total of 13 points
	Total Points Earned:25 Total Points Possible: 25
	Basic SPEPTM Score: 59 total points awarded out of 100 points. Compares service to any other type of SPEP therapeutic service. (eg: individual counseling compared to cognitive behavioral therapy, social skills training, mentoring, etc.) Note: Services with scores greater than or equal to 50 show the service is having a positive impact on recidivism reduction.
	Program Optimization Percentage: 70% This percentage compares the service to the same service types found in the research. (eg: individual counseling compared to all other individual counseling services included in the research)
	The SPEP and Performance Improvement
	The intended use of the SPEP is to optimize the effectiveness of reducing recidivism among juvenile offenders. Recommendations for performance improvement are included in the service feedback report, and these

recommendations are the focus of the performance improvement plan, a shared responsibility of the service provider and the local juvenile court. The recommendations for this service included in the feedback report are:

The Neighborhood First Intensive Mentoring and Monitoring Life Skills Group program scored a 59 for the Basic Score and a 70% Program Optimization Percentage. It is classified as a Group 3 Social Skills Training service type. These scores represent an increase from the baseline findings of the initial SPEPTM scoring results. It should be noted that the Amount of Service score decreased slightly from the baseline score, however the risk level of the youth served is on average, higher than the risk level of youth being served in a community based setting. The program could increase its impact on recidivism reduction and competency development by:

- 1. Quality of Service Delivery: a. Written Protocol: i. Documenting an annual review of the manual. ii. Utilizing the manual as a training tool during staff meetings, iii. Document the sections of the manual reviewed during staff meetings, b. Staff Training; i. Continue to document training topics that are offered to staff by Director or outside presenter, ii. Continue to document training dates. hours, and staff that attended. iii. Continue to educate Youth Advocates and supervisor on the YLS, Case Plan and other JJSES topics. c. Response to Drift: i. Begin to utilize staff performance evaluations on a regular basis during the year. ii. Review the evaluation with the staff member and provide them with a copy if requested.
- 2. Amount of Service: a. Continuing to collaborate with the probation department to ensure that each juvenile reaches a minimum of 16 weeks of service and 24 contact hours.
- 3. Risk Level: a. Continuing to collaborate with the probation department to ensure that moderate and high-risk juveniles are being referred to the program and that low risk referrals are being diverted from treatment. b. Ensure YLS data and the youth's Case Plan is included in the referral packet from the probation department. c. Utilize the YLS and Case Plan information provided by the probation department to track the YLS domains and competency development areas being addressed by the program.